# The Open Monophonic Number of a Graph 

A.P. Santhakumaran, M. Mahendran


#### Abstract

For a connected graph $G$ of order $n$, a subset $S$ of vertices of $G$ is a monophonic set of $G$ if each vertex $v$ in $G$ lies on a $x-y$ monophonic path for some elements $x$ and $y$ in $S$. The minimum cardinality of a monophonic set of $G$ is defined as the monophonic number of $G$, denoted by $m(G)$. A monophonic set of cardinality $m(G)$ is called a $m$-set of $G$. A set $S$ of vertices of a connected graph $G$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ if for each vertex $v$ in $G$, either $v$ is an extreme vertex of $G$ and $v \in S$, or $v$ is an internal vertex of a $x-y$ monophonic path for some $x, y \in S$. An open monophonic set of minimum cardinality is a minimum open monophonic set and this cardinality is the open monophonic number, om(G). The open monophonic number of certain standard graphs are determined. For positive integers $r, d$ and $I \geq 2$ with $r \leq d \leq 2 r$, there exists a connected graph of radius $r$, diameter $d$ and open monophonic number $l$. It is proved that for a tree $T$ of order $n$ and diameter $d$, om $(T)=n-d+1$ if and only if $T$ is a caterpillar. Also for integers $n$, $d$ and $k$ with $2 \leq d<n, 2 \leq k<n$ and $n-d-k+1 \geq 0$, there exists a graph $G$ of order $n$, diameter $d$ and open monophonic number $k$. It is proved that $o m(G)-2 \leq o m\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq o m(G)+1$, where $G^{\prime}$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by adding a pendant edge to $G$. Further, it is proved that if om $\left(G^{\prime}\right)=o m(G)+1$, then $v$ does not belong to any minimum open monophonic set of $G$, where $G^{\prime}$ is a graph obtained from $G$ by adding a pendant edge $u v$ with $v$ a vertex of $G$ and $u$ not a vertex of $G$.
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## 1 Introduction

BY a graph $G=(V, E)$ we mean a finite, undirected connected graph without loops or multiple edges. The order and size of $G$ are denoted by $n$ and $m$, respectively. For basic graph theoretic terminology we refer to Harary [4]. The distance $d(u, v)$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$ is the length of a shortest $u-v$ path in $G$. An $u-v$ path of length $d(u, v)$ is called an $u-v$ geodesic. It is known that this distance is a metric on the vertex set $V(G)$. For any vertex $v$ of $G$, the eccentricity $e(v)$ of $v$ is the distance between $v$ and a vertex farthest from $v$. The minimum eccentricity among the vertices of $G$ is the radius, rad $G$ and the maximum eccentricity is its diameter, diam $G$ of $G$. The neighborhood of a vertex $v$ is the set $N(v)$ consisting of all vertices which are adjacent with $v$. The vertex $v$ is an extreme vertex of $G$ if the subgraph induced by its neighbors is complete. For a cutvertex $v$ in a connected graph $G$ and a component $H$ of $G-v$, the subgraph $H$ and the vertex $v$ together with all edges joining $v$ and $V(H)$ is called a branch of $G$ at $v$. A geodetic set of $G$ is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that every vertex of $G$ is contained in a geodesic joining some pair of vertices in $S$. The geodetic number $g(G)$ of $G$ is the cardinality of a minimum geodetic set. A vertex $x$ is said to lie on a $u-v$ geodesic $P$ if $x$ is a vertex of $P$ and $x$ is called an internal vertex of $P$ if $x \neq$ $u, v$. A set $S$ of vertices of a connected graph $G$ is an open geodetic set of $G$ if for each vertex $v$ in $G$, either $v$ is an extreme vertex of $G$ and $v \in S$, or $v$ is an internal vertex of a $x-y$ geodesic for some $x, y \in S$. An open geodetic set of minimum cardinality is a minimum open geodetic set and this cardinality is the open geodetic number $\operatorname{og}(G)$. It is clear that every open geodetic set is a geodetic set so that $g(G) \leq o g(G)$. The geodetic number of a graph was introduced and studied in [1,2]. The open geodetic number of a graph was introduced and studied in $[3,5,7]$ in the name open geodomination in graphs. A chord of a path $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{\mathrm{n}}$ in $G$ is an edge $u_{i} u_{j}$ with $j \geq i+2$. For two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$, a $u-v$ path is called a monophonic path if it contains no chords. A monophonic set of $G$ is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that every vertex of $G$ is contained in a monophonic path joining some pair of vertices in $S$. The monophonic number $m(G)$ of $G$ is the cardinality of a minimum monophonic set.


Fig. 1.1: A graph with monophonic number 3.
For the graph $G$ given in Fig. 1.1, the set $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{6}\right\}$ is a minimum monophonic set so that $m(G)=3$.

Since every extreme vertex $v$ is either an initial vertex or a terminal vertex of a path containing $v$, it follows that every monophonic set $S$ of graph $G$ contains all its extreme vertices. Hence we have the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 Every extreme vertex of a connected graph $G$ belongs to each monophonic set of $G$. In particular, if the set $S$ of all extreme vertices of $G$ is a monophonic set of $G$, then $S$ is the unique minimum monophonic set of $G$.

## 2 Open Monophonic Number Of a Graph

### 2.1 Definition

A set $S$ of vertices in a connected graph $G$ is an open monophonic set if for each vertex $v$ in $G$, either $v$ is an extreme vertex of $G$ and $v \in S$, or $v$ is an internal vertex of an $x-y$ monophonic path for some $x, y \in S$. An open monophonic set of minimum cardinality is a minimum open monophonic set and this cardinality is the open monophonic number om $(G)$ of $G$. An open monophonic set of cardinality $\operatorname{om}(G)$ is called an om-set of $G$.


G
Fig. 1: A graph with open monophonic number 4.

For the graph $G$ is Fig. 1, the set $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ is a monophonic set of G so that $m(G)=2$. It is easily checked that neither a $2-$ element subset nor a 3-element subset of vertices is an open monophonic set of $G$. Since $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ is an open monophonic set of $G$, it follows that $S$ is a minimum open monophonic set and so $o m(G)=4$. Also $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{7}\right\}, S_{2}=\left\{v_{1}\right.$, $\left.v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right\}, S_{3}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{6}\right\}$ are minimum open monophonic sets. Thus, there can be more than one minimum open monophonic set for a connected graph. This example also shows that the monophonic number and open monophonic number of a graph are different.

It clear that an open monophonic set needs at least two vertices and so $\operatorname{om}(G) \geq 2$ Also the set of all vertices of $G$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ so that $\operatorname{om}(G) \leq n$. Hence we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2 For any connected graph $G$ of order $n, 2 \leq o m(G) \leq n$.
Remark 2.3 We observe that the bounds in Theorem 2.2 are sharp. For the complete graph $K_{n}(n \geq 2), o m\left(K_{n}\right)=n$. The set of two end vertices of a path $P_{n}(n \geq 2)$ is its unique minimum open monophonic set so that $\operatorname{om}\left(P_{n}\right)=2$. Thus the complete graph $K_{n}$ has largest possible open monophonic number $n$ and that non-trivial paths have the smallest open monophonic number 2.

We observe that every open monophonic set of a graph G is a monophonic set so that $m(G) \leq o m(G)$. This combined with Theorem 2.2 gives the following result.
Theorem 2.4 For a connected graph $G, 2 \leq m(G) \leq o m(G) \leq n$.
Since every open monophonic set of a graph $G$ is also a monophonic set of a graph $G$, the next theorem follows from Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 2.5 Every open monophonic set of a graph $G$ contains its extreme vertices. Also, if the set $S$ of all extreme vertices of $G$ is an open monophonic set, then $S$ is the unique minimum open monophonic set of G.
Corollary 2.6 For the complete graph $K_{n}(n \geq 2)$, om $\left(K_{n}\right)=n$.
Remark 2.7 If $\operatorname{om}(G)=n$ for a connected graph $G$ of order $n$, then it need not be true that $G$ is complete. It is clear that for the cycle $G=C_{4}, \operatorname{om}(G)=4$.

Now, Corollary 2.6 leads us to ask the question whether $m(G)=n$ for a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ implies $G$ $=K_{n}$. If $G$ is not a complete graph, then there exist two vertices $x$ and $y$ such that $x$ and $y$ are not adjacent. Hence there is a $x-y$ geodesic $P$ of length at least 2 so that $P$ is also a $x-y$ monophonic path of length at least 2 . Let $v$ be an internal vertex of the $x-y$ monophonic path $P$. Then it is clear that $S=V-\{v\}$ is a monophonic set of $G$ so that $m(G) \leq n-1$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.8 For a connected graph $G$ of order $n, m(G)=n$ if and only if $G=K$.

The same result is not true for open monophonic number of a graph. It is to be noted that for $G=C_{4}, o m(G)=$ 4.

Theorem 2.9 If $G$ is a non-trivial connected graph with no extreme vertices, then $\operatorname{om}(G) \geq 3$.
Proof. First, we observe that if $G$ is a non-trivial connected graph having no extreme vertices, then the order of $G$ is at least 4. Let $S$ be an open monophonic set of $G$. If $u \in S$, then there exist vertices $v$ and $w$ such that $u$ is an internal vertex of a $v-w$ monophonic path. Hence it follows that $|S| \geq 3$, and so om $(G) \geq 3$.
Theorem 2.10 For any cycle $G=C_{n}(n \geq 4)$,
$\operatorname{om}(G)= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } n \geq 6 \\ 4 & \text { if } n=4,5 .\end{cases}$
Proof. Let the cycle $G=C_{n}(n \geq 6)$ be $C_{n}: V_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}, \mathrm{~V}_{1}$. Since $G$ has no extreme vertices, it follows from Theorem 2.9 that $\operatorname{om}(G) \geq 3$. It is clear that $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{5}\right\}$ is a minimum open monophonic set of $G$ so that $\operatorname{om}(G)=3$. For $G=C_{4}$, it is clear that no 3-element subset of vertices is an open monophonic set of $G$. Hence it follows that $\operatorname{om}(G)=4$. For $G=C_{5}$, it is easily seen that no 3-element subset of vertices is an open monophonic set of $G$. Since $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ is an open monophonic set of $G$, it follows that $\operatorname{om}(G)=4$. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.
Remark 2.11 Theorem 2.10 shows that the bound in Theorem 2.9 is sharp.

Theorem 2.12 For the complete bipartite graph $G=K_{r, s}(2 \leq r \leq s)$, $o m(G)=4$.
Proof. Let $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{\mathrm{r}}\right\}$ and $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}$ be the partite sets of $G$. Since $G$ contains no extreme vertices, by Theorem $2.9 \mathrm{om}(G) \geq 3$. It is easily verified that no 3-element subset of vertices of $G$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ so that $o m(G) \geq 4$. Let $S$ be any set of four vertices formed by taking two vertices from each of $U$ and $W$. Then it is clear that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ so that $o m(G)=4$.
Theorem 2.13 If $G$ is a connected graph having $k \geq 2$ extreme vertices, and if $m(G)=k$, then om $(G)=k$.
Proof. Let $S$ be the set of all extreme vertices of $G$. Since $m(G)$ $=k$, by Theorem 1.1, $S$ is the unique minimum monophonic set of $G$. We prove that $S$ is also an open monophonic set of $G$. If $v$ $\notin S$, then, since $S$ is a monophonic set, $v$ is an internal vertex of an $x-y$ monophonic path for some $x, y \in S$. Therefore, $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ and so by Theorem $2.4 \mathrm{om}(G)=k$.
Theorem 2.14 For any wheel $W_{n}=K_{1}+C_{n-1}(n \geq 5)$,

$$
o m\left(W_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } n \geq 7 \\ 4 & \text { if } n=5,6\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $W_{n}=K_{1}+C_{n-1}(n \geq 5)$. Let $n \geq 7$. Since $W_{n}$ has no extreme vertices, by Theorem 2.9, om $(G) \geq 3$. Since the set $S=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{5}\right\}$ is an open monophonic set of $W_{n}$, it follows that $\operatorname{om}\left(W_{n}\right)=3$. Let $W_{n}=K_{1}+C_{n-1}(n=5,6)$. Since $W_{n}$ has no extreme vertices, by Theorem $2.9, o m\left(W_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \geq 3$. It is easily verified that no 3-element subset of vertices of $W_{\mathrm{n}}$ is an open monophonic set. Since $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ is an open monophonic set of $W_{\mathrm{n}}$, it follows that $\operatorname{om}\left(W_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=4$. Thus the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.15 If $G$ is a connected graph with a cutvertex $v$, then every open monophonic set of $G$ contains at least one vertex from each component of $G-v$.
Proof. Let $v$ be a cut vertex of $G$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{k}(k \geq 2)$ be the components of $G-v$. Let $S$ be an open monophonic set of $G$. Suppose that $S$ contains no vertex from a component say $G_{i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Let $u$ be a vertex of $G$ i. Then by Theorem $2.5 u$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$. Since $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$, there exist vertices $x, y \in S$ such that $u$ lies on a $x-y$ monophonic path $P: x=u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u, \ldots, u_{l}=y$ with $u \neq x, y$. Then the $x-u$ subpath of $P$ and the $u-y$ subpath of $P$ both contain $v$. Hence it follows that $P$ is not a path, which is a contradiction. Thus every open monophonic set of $G$ contains at least one vertex from the component of $G-v$.
Corollary 2.16 Let $G$ be a connected graph with cutvertices and let $S$ be an open monophonic set of $G$. Then every branch of $G$ contains an element of $S$.
Theorem 2.17 Let $G$ be a connected graph with cutvertices and $S$ a minimum open monophonic set of $G$. Then no cut vertex of $G$ belongs to $S$.
Proof. Let $S$ be any minimum open monophonic set of $G$. Let $v$ $\in S$. We prove that $v$ is not a cutvertex of G. Suppose that $v$ is a cutvertex of $G$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{k}(k \geq 2)$ be the components of $G-v$. Then $v$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of each $G_{i}$ for $1 \leq$ $i \leq k$. Let $S^{\prime}=S-\{v\}$. We show that $S^{\prime}$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. Let $x$ be a vertex of $G$. If $x$ is an extreme vertex of $G$, then $x \neq v$ and so by Theorem 2.5, $\mathrm{x} \in S^{\prime}$. Suppose that $x$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$. Since $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G, x$ lies as an internal vertex of a $u-w$ monophonic path $P$ for some $u, w \in S$. If $v \neq u$, w then obviously $u, w \in S^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime}$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. If $v=u$, then $v \neq \mathrm{w}$. Assume without loss of generality that $w \in G i$. By Theorem 2.15, $S^{\prime}$ contains a vertex $w^{\prime}$ from $G_{i}(2 \leq i \leq k)$. Then $w^{\prime} \neq v$. Let $P^{\prime}$ be a $v-w^{\prime}$ monophonic path. Then, since $v$ is a cutvertex of $G$, it follows that the path $P$ followed by the path $P^{\prime}$ is a $w-w^{\prime}$ monophonic path of G. Hence $x$ is an internal vertex of a $w-w^{\prime}$ monophonic path with $w, w^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$. Thus $S^{\prime}$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ with $\left|S^{\prime}\right|<|S|$. This is a contradiction to $S$ a minimum open monophonic set. Thus no cutvertex of $G$ belongs to $S$.
Remark 2.18 If $\operatorname{om}(G)=n$ for a connected graph $G$ of order $n$, it follows from Theorem 2.17 that $G$ is a block.

We leave the following problem as an open question.
Problem 2.19 Characterize the class of graphs $G$ of order $n$ for which $\operatorname{om}(G)=n$.
Corollary 2.20 For any tree $T$, the open monophonic number $\operatorname{om}(T)$ equals the number of endvertices of $T$. In fact, the set of all endvertices of $T$ is the unique minimum open monophonic set of $T$.
Proof. This follows from Theorems 2.5 and 2.17.
Theorem 2.21 For every pair $k$, $n$ of integers with $2 \leq k \leq n$, there exists a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ such that om $(G)=k$.
Proof. For $k=n$, let $G=K_{n}$. Then the result follows from Cor-
ollary 2.6. For $2 \leq k<n$, let $G$ be a tree of order $n$ with $k$ endvertices. Then the result follows from the Corollary 2.20.
Theorem 2.22 For a connected graph $G$ of order $n \geq 2, \operatorname{om}(G)=2$ if and only if there exist exactly two extreme vertices $u$ and $v$ such that every vertex of $G$ is on a monophonic $u-v$ path.
Proof. Let $\operatorname{om}(G)=2$. Let $S=\{u, v\}$ be a minimum open monophonic set of $G$. Then, necessarily both $u$ and $v$ are extreme vertices of $G$. Hence every vertex of $G$ lies as an internal vertex of a $u-v$ monophonic path. The converse is obvious.
Theorem 2.23. Let $G$ be a non-complete connected graph of order $n$. If $G$ contains a vertex of degree $n-1$, then om $(G) \leq n-1$.
Proof. Let $x$ be a vertex of degree $n-1$. Since $G$ is not complete, $x$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$. Let $S=\mathrm{V}(G)-\{x\}$. We show that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. Since $x$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$, there exist non-adjacent neighbors $y$ and $z$ of $x$. Hence it follows that $x$ lies as an internal vertex of a $y-z$ monophonic path for some $y, z \in S$. Now, let $u \in S$. If $u$ is an extreme vertex of $G$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $u$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$. If $\langle N(u)\rangle$ is complete in $\langle S\rangle$, then $\langle N(u) \cup\{x\}\rangle$ is complete in $G$. Hence $u$ is an extreme vertex of $G$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\langle N(u)\rangle$ is not complete in $\langle S\rangle$. This means that there exist non-adjacent neighbors $v, w$ of $u$ such that $v, w \in S$. Hence it follows that $u$ lies as an internal vertex of a $v-w$ monophonic path so that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. Thus $o m(G) \leq|S|=n-1$.

For the wheel $W_{5}=K_{1}+C_{4}, \operatorname{om}\left(W_{5}\right)=4$ so that the bound in Theorem 2.23 is sharp. For the graph $G$ in Fig. 2, $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ is a minimum open monophonic set of $G \operatorname{om}(G)=2<4$, so that the bound in Theorem 2.23 can be strict.


Fig. 2: A noncomplete graph $G$ with a vertex of $\operatorname{deg} 4$ and $o m(G)<4$
Theorem 2.24 For any tree $T$ of order $n \geq 3, \operatorname{om}(T)=n-1$ if and only if $T$ is the star $K_{1, n-1}$.
Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.20, and also from the fact that a tree with exactly one cutvertex is a star.

In the following theorem, we construct a class of graphs $G$ of order $n$ for which $\operatorname{om}(G)=n-1$.
Theorem 2.25 If $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are vertex disjoint connected graphs of order $n_{i} \geq 2, k \geq 2$ and om $\left(G_{i}\right)=n_{i}$, then om $\left(K_{1}+\cup G_{i}\right)=\sum n_{i}$.
Proof. Let $G=K_{1}+\cup G_{i}$. Let $K_{1}=\{v\}$. By Theorem 2.23, $\operatorname{om}(G) \leq \sum n_{i}$. Suppose that $\operatorname{om}(G)<\sum n_{i}$. Let $S$ be a minimum open monophonic set of $G$. Then $|S| \leq \sum n_{i}-1$. Since $v$ is a cutvertex of $G$, by Theorem $2.17 v \notin S$. Let $S_{i}=S \cap V\left(G_{i}\right)(1 \leq i$ $\leq k) . S_{i} \neq \phi$, by Theorem 2.15. Also $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \ldots \cup S_{k}, S_{i} \cap S_{j}$
$=\phi, i \neq j$. Since $|S| \leq \sum n_{i}-1$, it follows that $\left|S_{i}\right| \leq n_{i}-1$ for some $i(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Hence $S_{i}$ is a proper subset of vertices of $G_{i}$. We show that $S_{i}$ is an open monophonic set of Gi. Let $x$ be an extreme vertex of $G_{i}$. Then it is clear that $x$ is also an extreme vertex of $G$ so that by Theorem 2.5, $x \in S$. Hence $x \in S_{i}$. If $x$ is not an extreme vertex of $G_{i}$, then since $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G, x$ lies as an internal vertex of a $y-z$ monophonic path $P$ with $y, z \in S$. Now, since $P$ is $y-z$ monophonic path and since $v$ is a cutvertex of $G$, it follows that both $y, z \in$ $S_{i}$. Thus $S_{i}$ is an open monophonic set of $G_{i}$ so that $\operatorname{om}\left(G_{i}\right) \leq$ $\left|S_{i}\right| \leq n_{i}-1$, which is a contradiction to om $\left(G_{i}\right)=n_{i}$.

Now, we leave the following problem as an open question.
Problem 2.26 Characterize the class of graphs $G$ of order $n$ for which $\operatorname{om}(G)=n-1$.

For every connected graph $G$, rad $G \leq \operatorname{diam} G \leq 2 \mathrm{rad} G$. Ostrand [6] showed that every two positive integers $a$ and $b$ with $a \leq b \leq 2 a$ are realizable as the radius and diameter, respectively, of some connected graph. Now, Ostrand's theorem can be extended so that the open monophonic number can also be prescribed, when $a<b \leq 2 a$.
Theorem 2.27 For positive integers $r, d$ and $l \geq 2$ with $r<d \leq 2 r$, there exists a connected graph $G$ with rad $G=r$, diam $G=d$ and $o m(G)=l$.
Proof. When $r=1$, let $G=k_{1, l}$. Then $d=2$ and by Corollary $2.20 \mathrm{om}(G)=l$. For $r \geq 2$, we construct a graph $G$ with the desired properties as follows:

Let $C_{2 r}: v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 r}, v_{1}$ be a cycle of order $2 r$ and let $P_{d-r+1}$ : $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d-r}$ be a path of order $d-r+1$. Let $H$ be a graph obtained from $C_{2 r}$ and $P_{d-r+1}$ by identifying $v_{1}$ in $C_{2 r}$ and $u_{0}$ in $P_{d-r+1}$. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from $H$ by adding $l-2$ new vertices $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{l-2}$ to $H$ and joining each vertex $w_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l$ $-2)$ with the vertex $u_{d-r-1}$ and also joining the edge $v_{r} v_{r+2}$. The graph $G$ is show in Fig. 3. Then $\operatorname{rad} G=r$ and $\operatorname{diam} G=d$.


Fig. 3: A graph $G$ with radius $r$, diameter $d$ and $o m(G)=I$.
The graph $G$ has $l-1$ endvertices. Let $S=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{l-2}, u_{d-r}\right.$, $\left.v_{r+1}\right\}$. Then $S$ is the set of all extreme vertices of $G$ and it is clear that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ so that by Theorem 2.5,
$\operatorname{om}(G)=l$.

## 3. The open monophonic number and diameter of a graph

For a graph $G$ of order $n$ and diameter $d$, it is proved that
$g(G) \leq n-d+1$. Since $m(G) \leq g(G)$, it follows that $m(G) \leq n-d+1$. However, in the case of $\operatorname{om}(G)$, it happens that $\operatorname{om}(G)<n-d+$ $1, \operatorname{om}(G)=n-d+1$ and $\operatorname{om}(G)>n-d+1$. For the graph $G$ given in Fig. 4 it is clear that $\left\{v_{3}, v_{6}\right\}$ is a minimum open monophonic set of $G$ and so $o m(G)=2$. Since $n=6$ and $d=4$, we have $n-d+1=3$ and so $o m(G)<n-d+1$. For the Wheel $W_{5}=$ $K_{1}+C_{4}$, by Theorem 2.14 , so $o m\left(W_{5}\right)=4$. Since $n=5$ and $d=2$, we have $n-d+1=4$ and so $o m\left(W_{5}\right)=n-d+1$. Also for the graph $G$ given in Fig. 5, it is clear that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{6}, v_{7}, v_{8}\right\}$ is a minimum open monophonic set of $G$ and so $o m(G)=6$. Since $n$ $=8$ and $d=4$ we have $n-d+\frac{\pi}{n}=5$ and so $o m(G)>n-d+1$.


Fig. 4: A graph with $o m(G)<n-d+1$.


Fig. 5: A graph with $o m(G)>n-d+1$.
Theorem 3.1 For every non-trivial tree $T$ of $\operatorname{order} n, \operatorname{om}(T)=n-d$ +1 if and only if $T$ is a caterpillar.
Proof. Let $T$ be a non-trivial tree. Let $d(u, v)=d$ and $P: u=v_{0}$, $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d-1}, v_{d}=v$ be a diametral path. Let $k$ be the number of endvertices of $T$ and $l$ the number of internal vertices of $T$ other than $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d-1}$. Then $n=d-1+k+l$. By Theorem $2.5, o m(T)=k$ and so $o m(T)=n-d+1$ if and only if $l=0$, if and only if all the internal vertices of $T$ lie on the diametral path $P$, if and only if $T$ is a caterpillar.

Now, we prove the following realization result.
Theorem 3.2 If $n, d$ and $k$ are integers such that $2 \leq d<n, 2 \leq k<$ $n$ and $n-d-k+1 \geq 0$, then there exists a graph $G$ of order $n$, diameter $d$ and $\operatorname{om}(G)=k$.
Proof. Let $P_{d}: u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{\mathrm{d}}$ be a path of length $d$. First, let $n-d-k+1 \geq 1$. Let $K_{n-d-k+1}$ be the complete graph with vertex set $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-d-k+1}\right\}$. Let $H$ be the graph obtained from $P_{d}$ and $\quad K_{n-d-k+1}$ by joining each vertex of $K_{n-d-k+1}$ to $u_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2$. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from $H$ by adding $k-2$ new vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-2}$ to $H$ and by joining each vertex $v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k-$ 2) with the vertex $u_{1}$ of $P_{d}$. The graph $G$ is shown in Fig. 6 and $G$ has order $n$ and diameter $d$. Let $S=\left\{u_{0}, u_{d}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-2}\right\}$ be the set of extreme vertices of $G$. Then it is clear that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ and so by Theorem $2.5 \mathrm{om}(G)=k$.


Fig. 6: A graph $G$ with order $n$, diameter $d$ and $o m(G)=k$.
For $n-d-k+1=0$, let $G$ be the tree given in Fig. 7. Then it is clear that $G$ has diameter $d$, order $d+k-1=n$ and $\operatorname{om}(G)=k$.



Fig. 7: A graph with order $\boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{d}+\boldsymbol{k}-1$, diameter $\boldsymbol{d}$ and $o m(G)=\boldsymbol{k}$.

## 4. Addition of a pendant edge and open monophonic number

A fundamental question in graph theory concerns how the value of a parameter is affected by making a small change in the graph. In this section, we study how the open monophonic number of a graph is affected by the addition of a pendant edge.
Theorem 4.1 If $G^{\prime}$ is a graph obtained by adding a pendant edge to a connected graph $G$, then $\operatorname{om}(G)-2 \leq o m\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq o m(G)+1$.
Proof. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by adding a pendant edge $u v$, where $u$ is not a vertex of $G$ and $v$ is a vertex of $G$. Let $S^{\prime}$ be a minimum open monophonic set of $G^{\prime}$. Then $o m\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$. Since $u$ is an endvertex of $G^{\prime}$, by Theorem 2.5, $u \in S^{\prime}$. Also since $v$ is a cutvertex of $G^{\prime}$, by Theorem 2.17, $v \notin S^{\prime}$. We consider two cases.
Case 1. $v$ is an extreme vertex of $G$.
Let $S=\left(S^{\prime}-\{u\}\right) \cup\{v\}$. Then it is clear that $|S|=\left|S^{\prime}\right|=$ $\operatorname{om}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. We show that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. Let $x$ be a vertex of $G$. Suppose that $x$ is an extreme vertex of $G$. If $x$ $=v$, then $x \in S$. If $x \neq v$, then $x$ is also an extreme vertex of $G^{\prime}$ and so $x \in S^{\prime}$. Since $x \neq u, v$ we have $x \in S$. Now, if $x$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$, then $x \neq v$. Since $S^{\prime}$ is an open monophonic set of $G^{\prime}, x$ lies as an internal vertex of a $y-z$ monophonic path with $y, z \in S^{\prime}$. If $u \neq y, z$, then it is clear that $x$ is an internal vertex of a $y-z$ monophonic path with $y, z \in S$. If $u=y$ or $u=z$, say $y=u$, then since $x \neq v$ it is easily verified that $x$ is an
internal vertex of a $v-z$ monophonic path with $v, z \in S$. Thus $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ so that $o m(G) \leq|S|=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$ $=o m\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
Case 2. $v$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$.
Since $v$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$, there exists vertices $v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}$ such that $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ are not adjacent in $G$, and $v$ is adjacent to both $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $v$ lies in the $v^{\prime}-v^{\prime \prime}$ geodesic of length 2 so that $v$ lies on a $v^{\prime}-v^{\prime \prime}$ monophonic path in $G$. Let $S=\left(S^{\prime}-\right.$ $\{u\}) \cup\left\{v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Then $|S| \leq\left|S^{\prime}\right|+2$. We show that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. Let $x$ be a vertex of G such that $x \neq$ $v$. If $x$ is an extreme vertex of $G$, then it clear that $x$ is also an extreme vertex of $G^{\prime}$. Hence $x \in S^{\prime}$. Also, since $x \neq u$, it follows that $x \in S$. Now, assume that $x$ is not an extreme vertex of $G$. Since $x \neq u$, it is clear that $x$ is also not an extreme vertex of $G^{\prime}$ and so $x$ lies as internal vertex of a $y-z$ monophonic path. Then, proceeding as in Case 1, we see that $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. Hence $\operatorname{om}(G) \leq|S| \leq\left|S^{\prime}\right|+2=o m\left(G^{\prime}\right)+2$. Combining both cases, we see that $\operatorname{om}(G)-2 \leq o m\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Now, we look for the upper bound of $\operatorname{om}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Let $S$ be a minimum open monophonic set of $G$. Since $u$ is an extreme vertex of $G^{\prime}$, it is clear that $S \cup\{u\}$ is an is an open monophonic set of $G^{\prime}$ and so $o m\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq|S \cup\{u\}|=o m(G)+1$. Thus $\operatorname{om}(G)-2$ $\leq o m\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq o m(G)+1$.
Remark 4.2 The bounds in Theorem 4.1 are sharp.


Fig. 8: A graph with $o m(G)=4$.


Fig. 9: A graph with om( $\left.\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right)=\boldsymbol{o m}(\mathbf{G})+1$
For the graph $G$ given in Fig. 8, it is easily seen that no 3element subset of vertices of $G$ is an open monophonic set of $G$. Now, the set $S=\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}, v_{7}\right\}$ is an open monophonic set of $G$ so that $\operatorname{om}(G)=4$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph in Fig. 9 obtained from $G$ by adding the pendant edge $v_{5} v_{8}$. Then $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{7}, v_{8}\right\}$ is a minimum open monophonic set of $G^{\prime}$ so that $\operatorname{om}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2$. Thus $o m(G)-2=o m\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. For any path $G$ of length at least 2 , we have $\operatorname{om}(G)=2$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the tree obtained from $G$ by adding the pendant edge at a cutvertex of $G$. The $\operatorname{om}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=3$. Thus $\operatorname{om}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ $=o m(G)+1$.
Theorem 4.3 If $G^{\prime}$ is a graph obtained from a connected graph $G$ by
adding a pendant edge $u v$, where $u$ is not a vertex of $G$ and $v$ is a vertex of $G$ and if om $\left(G^{\prime}\right)=o m(G)+1$, then $v$ does not belong to any minimum open monophonic set of $G$.
Proof. Assume that $v$ belongs to some minimum open monophonic set $S$ of $G$. Let $S^{\prime}=(S-\{v\}) \cup\{u\}$. Then $|S|=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$. We show that $S^{\prime}$ is an open monophonic set of $G^{\prime}$. Let $x$ be a vertex in $G^{\prime}$. If $x$ is an extreme vertex of $G^{\prime}$, then $x \neq v$. If $x=u$, then by definition of $S^{\prime}, x \in S^{\prime}$. If $x \neq u$, then $x$ is an extreme vertex of $G$ and so $x \in S$. Hence it follows that $x \in S^{\prime}$. Suppose that $x$ is not an extreme vertex of $G^{\prime}$. Then $x \neq u$. It is clear that $x$ is a vertex of G. If $x=v$, then $x$ lies as an internal vertex of a $y-u$ monophonic path for any $y \in S$, with $y \neq x$. If $x \neq v$, then since $S$ is an open monophonic set of $G, x$ is an internal vertex of a $y$ $-z$ monophonic path with $y, z \in S$. If $v \neq y, z$, then $y, z \in S^{\prime}$. If $v=y$ or $v=z$, say $y=v$, then $x$ lies as an internal vertex of a $v-$ $z$ monophonic path with $v, z \in \mathrm{~S}$. Since $v$ is a cut vertex of $G^{\prime}$, it is clear that $x$ is an internal vertex of a $u-z$ monophonic path with $u, z \in \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}$. Hence $S^{\prime}$ is an open monophonic set of $G^{\prime}$ so that $o m\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq\left|S^{\prime}\right|=|S|=o m(G)$, which is a contradiction.

Remark 4.4 The converse of Theorem 4.3 need not be true. For the graph $G$ given in Fig. 10, it is easily seen that $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{5}\right.$, $\left.v_{9}\right\}$ is a minimum open monophonic set so that $\operatorname{om}(G)=4$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph given in Fig. 11, obtained from $G$ by adding the pendant edge $v_{4} v_{10}$. Then $S^{\prime}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{9}, v_{10}\right\}$ is the unique minimum open monophonic set of $G^{\prime}$ so that $\operatorname{om}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=3$. Thus $o m\left(G^{\prime}\right) \neq \operatorname{om}(G)+1$. It is easily seen that no 4-element subset of vertices of $G$ containing $v_{4}$ is an open monophonic set of $G$.


Fig. 10: A graph with $o m(G)=4$.


Fig. 11: A graph with om( $\left.\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0 m(G)+1$
We leave the following problem as an open question.
Problem 4.3 Characteristize the class of graphs $G$ for which $\operatorname{om}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{om}(G)+1$, where $G^{\prime}$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by adding a pendant edge of $G$.

## Conclusion

This paper introduces a new parameter known as open monophonic number of a graph. The open problems given in this paper are challenging. Further, this concept can be extended to conditional parameters.
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